

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/065,260

Applicant(s)

WANG ET AL.

Examiner

Katherine A. Bareford

Art Unit

1762

All Participants:(1) Katherine A. Bareford.(2) Mr. DiConza.**Status of Application:** after amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 2 December 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

1, 23, 29-30

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Mr. DiConza agreed to an Examiner's Amendment as shown on the attached Examiner's Amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)